

This is an authorized facsimile, made from the microfilm master copy of the original dissertation or masters thesis published by UMI.

The bibliographic information for this thesis is contained in UMI's Dissertation Abstracts database, the only central source for accessing almost every doctoral dissertation accepted in North America since 1861.

## U:M:I Dissertation | Service

University Microfilms International A Bell & Howelf-Information Company: 300 N. Zeeb Road: Ann Arbor: Michigan 48105 800-521-0600 OR:313,761-4700

Printed in 1991 by xerographic process on acid-free paper

3266

2023513024

機能

Order Number \$627.026

The relationship of passive smoking to various health outcomes among Seventh-day Adventists in California

Butler, Terrence Leslie, Dr.P.H.
Uziversity of California, Los Angeles, 1988

Copyright @1988 by Butler, Terrence Leslie. All rights reserved.

U.M.I 300 N. Zeeb Rd

2023513025

### INFORMATION TO USERS

The most advanced technology has been used to photograph and reproduce this manuscript from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps. Each original is also photographed in one exposure and is included in reduced form at the back of the book. These are also available as one exposure on a standard 35mm slide or as a 17" x 23" black and white photographic print for an additional charge.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

UMI

University Micitiams International
A Beil & Howell Imprimation Company
300 North Zeeb Road: AnniArbor, Mt 48106-1346 USA
313-761-4700 - 800-521-0600

# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Los Angeles

The Relationship of Passive Smoking to Various

Health Outcomes among Seventh-day, Adventists in California

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Public Health:

by

Terrence Leslie Butter

The dissertation of Terrence Leslie Butter is approved.

Sander Greenland

William Shout

Henry Hon

Henry Gong

Murray Jarynk

Barbara Visscher, Committee Chair

University of California, Los Angeles

1988

© Copyright by

Terrence Lesie Butler: 1988

\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_: \_\_\_:

- "()

Dedicated to the memory of my mother

Sarah Joan Butler (1922-1987)

A life of immeasurable qualities

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
Listiol Fig	ures		<b>V</b> #1
Listical Tab	vies		\#i
Acknowled	ဘူးက	ents	ΣVI
Vita, Publi	cation	s and Presentations	XIX
Abstract.			X XC
Chapter	1	INTRODUCTION	11
	1,1	Background	1:
	1.2	Research Hypotheses	3
	1.3	Specific Objectives	4
	1.4	Definitions and Classification of Terms	5
*1	1.5	Significance, Relevance and Rationale	8
	1.6	Organization of Thesis	9
<b>~</b>	_	LUTTO A TUDO E DO COM	
Chapter	2	WTERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1	Introduction	11
	2.2	Companson of Mainstream and Sidestream Smoke	13
	2:3	Measurement of Passive Smoking Exposure	1:5
	2:4	General Health Effects	20
	2:5	Plausibility of a Health Effect.	22
	2.6	Lung. Cancer	23

			Pag
Chapter	5	LUNG CANCER RESULTS	97
•	5.1	Spouse Pars Cohort.	97
	5.2	AHSMOG Cohort	105
	5.3	Summary	112
Champs	•	PHOMBIC DELATED CANCER DECLUTE	• • •
Chapter		SMOKING RELATED CANCER RESULTS	114
	6.1	Spouse Pars Cohort	1/14
	6.2	AHSMOG Cohort	131
	6.3	Summary	135
		,	
Chapter:	7	ALL INCIDENT CANCER RESULTS	140
	7.1	Spouse Pairs Cohort Incident Cancers	1.40
	7.2	AHSMOG Cohort Alliancident Cancers	145
	7.3	Summary	149
Chapter	8	MORTALITY RESULTS	153
	8.1.	Spouse Pairs Cohort - All Cause Mortality, Results	153
	8.2	Spouse Pars Cohort - Ischemic Heart Disease. Mortainy Results	160
	8.3	AHSMOG Cohort- All-Cause Mortality Results	163
	8.4	AHSMOG Cohort - Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality Results	173

vi

N
S
ÇU
CT
C
و

		Pa
8.5	Summary	176
Chapter 9	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	180
9,1	Data Quality	180
9.2	Lung Cancer	187
9.3	Smoking Related Cancers	191
9.4	Cervical Cancer	194
9.5	All Incident Cancers	196
9.6	Mortality Results	198
9.7	Conclusions	199
References		201
Appendices	Glossary of Terminology and Abbreviations	214
:	2 AHS Census Questionnaire (1974)	218
:	3 AHS Lifestyle Ouestionnaire (1976)	226
•	4 AHSMOG Ovestionnaire (1977):	248
!	5 AHSMOG Questionnaire (1987)	254
i	Data Collection Forms	269
	1977 Hospital History Form 1983 Hospital History Form Discharge Diagnosis Form Tumor Abstract Form	270 271 273 273

Vİ

### LIST OF FIGURES

	3.7 - 3.00 - 3.00	Pag
Figure 3.1	Flow Chart of Adventist Health Study	47
3.2	Relationship of Spouse Pairs and AHSMOG Sub- Populations to the Adventist Health Study Incidence Population	53
	LIST: OF TABLES	
Table 1.1	Smoking Related Cancers	7
2.1	Distribution: of Constituents in Mainstream Smoke (MS); and the Ratio of Sidestream: Smoke (SS) to MS of non-filter cigarettes	14
2.3	Summary of Case Control Studies of Lung Cancer	25
2.3	Summary of Cohort Studies of Lung Cancer	31
2.4	Summary of Studies of Other Cancers	33
2.5	Summary of Studies of Heart Disease	37
2.6	Summary of Studies of All Cause Montality.	41
3.1	Age-Standardized Mortality Ratios for Selectedicauses of Death Among 25,000 White California Adventists, 1960-1980:	45
3.2	Base Line Variables Measured on the Three Self-Administered Questionnaires, 1974-1977	50
3.3	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Selected Descriptive Data	55
3.4	AHSMOG Cohort: Selected Descriptive Data	57
3.5	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Spouse Smoking Status in Marnage.	68

WÜ

		Page
Table 3.6	Percentage Agreement Between two Methods of Classifying Husband's Smoking Status in Marriage	70:
4.3:	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of Age at LQ by Age Groups	<b>8</b> 1:
4.2	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of Smoking Status, 1976	82
4.3	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of Number of Cigarettes Regularly Smolled	83
4.4	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of Number of Years Regularly Smoked	84
4.5	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution: of Pack Years of Cigarettes Smoked	<b>8</b> 5
4.6	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Smoking Status of Spouse Pairs	<b>8</b> 6
4.7	Spouse Pairs Cohort: Spouse Smoking Status in Marnage	87
4.8	Spouse Pars Cohort: Sex-Specific Mortality,. 1976-1982, by Underlying Causes	88
4.9	Spouse Pairs' Cohort: Sex and Site Specific Incident Cases of Cancer, 1977-1982	89
4.10	AHSMOG Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of: Age Groups	90
4.11	AHSMOG Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of Smoking Status	91
4.12	AHSMOG Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of: Cigarettes Smoked	91
4.13	AHSMOG Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of Years Smoked	92

DL.

Page.

92

93

94

2023513037

4,17	AHSMOG Cohort: Sex-Specific Mortality; 1976- 1982, by Underlying Causes	95
4.18	AHSMOG Cohort: Sex and Site Specific Incident Cases of Cancer, 1977-1982	96
5.1:	Spouse Pars Females: Histology of Lung Cancer Cases	98
5.2	Spouse Pairs Females: Lung Cancer, 1977-1982, Distribution of Incidenti Cases, Person Years, and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Husbands Smoking Status in Marriage	99
5.3	Spouse Pairs Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratios of Lung Cancer, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	101
5.4	Spouse Pars Female Non-Smokers: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio for Lung- Cancer, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	104
5.5	Spouse Pars Female Non-Smokers: Comparison:of Lung Cancer, Age Adjusted Rate Ratios for Exposure to Spouse Smoking using Different Statistical Methods	105
5.6	AHSMOG Cohort: Histology of Lung-Cancer Cases	106
5.7	AHSMOG Current: Non-Smokers: Lung Cancer, 1977-1982, Distribution of Incident Cases, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Lived with a Smoker	107

AHSMOG Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of

AHSMOG Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of

AHSMOG Cohort: Sex-Specific Distribution of

Pack Years of Cigarettes Smoked

Years Lived with a Smoker

Years Worked with a Smoker

Table 4:14

4.15

4.16

Table 5:8	AHSMOG Current Non-Smokers: Lung Cancer; 1977-1982, Distribution; of: Incidenti Cases, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Worked with a Smoker	Pag 108
5.9	AHSMOG Females: Adjusted Rate Ratios of Lung Cancer, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors ,	1/10
5.10	AHSMOG Males: Adjusted Rate Ratios of Lung- Cancer, 1977-1982, For Selected Exposure Factors	111
5.1.1	AHSMOG Lung Cancer Adjusted Rate Ratios for Varying Years and Types of Passive Smoking Exposure	113
6.1	Spouse Pairs Females: Site Specific Distribution of Smoking Related Cancers	115
6.2	Spouse Pairs Females: All Smoking Related Cancers, 1977-1982; Distribution of Incident Cases, Person-Years and Incidence Cases by Age Group and Husband's Smoking Status in Marriage	1:16
€.3	Spouse Pars Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of Smoking Related Cancer Excluding Lung Cancer for Selected Exposure Factors, 1977-1982	117
<b>6.4</b> °	Spouse Pairs Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of All Smoking Related Cancer for Selected Exposure Factors.	119
6.5	Spouse Pairs Female, Non-Smokers:: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of Smoking Related Cancer Excluding Lung for Selected Exposure Factors, 1977-1982:	121
6.6	Spouse Pairs Female Non-Smokers: Age Adjusted Rate. Ratio of Smoking Related Cancer Including Lung, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	122
6.7	Spouse Pairs Female Non-Smokers: Smoking Related Cancers Age Adjusted Rate Ratios for Females Married to a Smoker or Married to a Non-Smoker	123

¥

Table 6.8	Spouse Pairs Females: Cervicall Cancer, 1977-1982; Distribution of Incident Cases, Person Years and Incidence Potos by App Group and Husbandi Smoking Status in Marriage	Pag 124
6.9	Spouse Pairs Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of Cervical Cancer, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	126
6.10	Spouse Pairs Female Non-Smokers: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of Cervical Cancer, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	128
6.11	Spouse Pairs Female Non-Smokers: Comparison of Adjusted Rate Ratos of Cervicali Cano∠r, 1977-1982, when Strathedion Offerent-Risk Factors	129
6.12	Spouse Pairs Female Non-Smokers: Cervical Cancer Age. Adjusted Rate Ratios for Females Marned to a Smoker or Marned to a Non-Smoker.	130
6.13	AHSMOG Cohort: Site Specific Distribution of Smoking Related Cancers, 1977-1982	131
6.14	AHSMOG Current Non-Smokers: All Smoking- Retated Cancers, 1977-1982, Distribution of Incident Cases, Person-Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Lived with a Smoker	133
6.15	AHSMOG Current Non-Smokers:: All Smoking Related Cancers, 1977-1982, Distribution of Incident Cases, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Worked with a Smoker	134
6.16	AHSMOG Females: Adjusted Rate Ratios of All Smoking Related Cancer, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	137
6.17	AHSMOG Males: Adjusted Rate Ratios of All Smoking Related Cancer, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	138

**x**ti:

		Page
abie 5.18	AHSMOG: All Smoking Related Cancers Age Adjusted Rate Ratio for Varying-Years and Types of Passive Smoking Exposure	138
7.1	Spouse Pairs Females: All Incident Cancers, 1977-1982, Distribution of Incident Cases, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Husband Smoking Status in Marriage	141
7.2	Spouse Pairs Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio- of Alli Incidenti Canceri for Selected Exposure Factors, 1977-1982	143
7.3	Spouse Pars Non-Smoking Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of All Incident Cancer, 1977-1982; for Selected Exposure Factors	144
7.4:	Spouse Pairs Females: Adjusted Rate Ratio of All Incident: Cancers, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	146
7.5	AHSMOG Currenti Non-Smokers: All Incident, Cancers, 1977-1982, Distribution: of Incident Cases, Person: Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Lived with a Smoker	147
7.6	AHSMOG Current Non-Smokers: All Incident Cancers, 1977-1982, Distribution of Incident Cases, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Worked with a Smoker.	148
7.7	AHSMOG Females: Adjusted Rate Ratio of All Incident Cancers, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	150
7.8	AHSMOG Males: Adjusted Rate Ratio of All Incident Cancers, 1977-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	151
8.1	Spouse Pairs Females: All Cause Mortality, 1977-1982, Distribution of Deaths, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Husband	154
	Smoking Status in Marriage	154

E) 51

		Pa
Table 8.2	Spouse Pars Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of: All Natural Cause Montality, 1976-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	156
<b>8.3</b>	Spouse Pairs Non-Smoking Females: Age Adjusted Rate Rate of All Natural Cause Mortality, 1976-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	158
8.4	Spouse Pairs Females: Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality, 1976-1982, Distribution of Deaths, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Husband Smoking Status in Marriage	161
8.5	Spouse Pairs Non-Smoking Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality; 1976-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	162
8.6	AHSMOG: Current Non-Smokers:: All Cause Mortality, 1976-1982, Distribution of Deaths, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Livediwith a Smoker.	164
8.7	AHSMOG: Current Non-Smokers: All: Cause Mortality, 1976-1982; Distribution: of Deaths, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Worked with a Smoker	16!
8.8	AHSMOG Females: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of All Cause Mortality; 1975-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	167
8.9	AHSMOG Males: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of All Cause Mortality, 1976-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	169
8.10	AHSMOG Current Non-Smokers: Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality; 1976-1982, Distribution of Deaths, Person: Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Lived with a Smoker	17

XIV

<b>**</b>

		_
able 8.1.1	AHSMOG Current Non-Smokers: lachemic Heart Disease Mortality, 1976-1982; Distribution of Deaths, Person Years and Incidence Rates by Age Group and Years Worked with a Smoker	Page 172
8.12	AHSMOG Females:: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality, 1976-1982; for F Selected Exposure Factors	174:
8.13	AHSMOG Males: Age Adjusted Rate Ratio of lachemic Heart Disease Mortality, 1976-1982, for Selected Exposure Factors	177
9.1	Spouse Pairs: Subject Smoking Status Percent Agreement Between 1976 and 1987 Responses	182
9.2	Spouse Pairs Females: Percent Agreement Between Created Variable "Husband Smoked in Marriage" and 1987: Response "Lived with Husband who Smoked"	184
9.3	AHSMOG Cohort: Agreement Between: 1977. and 1987. Responses to ETS Exposure Questions.	186
9.4	A Companson of Relative Risks of Lung Cancer for ETS Exposure among the AHSMOG and Spouse Pairs Cohorts	188
9.5	A. Comparison of Relative Risks of AS Smoking: Related Cancer for ETS Exposure among the AHSMOG and Spouse Pairs Cohorts	193
9.6	A Comparison of Relative Risks of All Incident Cancers for ETS Exposure among the AHSMOG and Spouse Pars Cohorts	197
9.7	A Companson of Relative Risks of All Cause Mortality: for ETS Exposure among the AHSMOG and Spouse Pairs Cohorts	201
9.8	A Comparison of Relative Risks of Ischemic Heart Disease for ETS Exposure among the AHSMOG	202

XV.

### CHAPTER: 5:: LUNG CANCER RESULTS

In this chapter the results of the lung cancer analyses are presented for both the spouse pairs females and the AHSMOG cohort. For the spouse pairs females the initial analyses include both the current and pastismokers. However, later analyses are restricted to the non-smoking population of this cohort. Although the major variables of interest are the passive smoking exposures, other selected factors are chosen for inclusion in the analyses because they may be independent his factors or have some protective effect on the outcome. The methods of analyses included the calculation of a crude measure of effect and stratified analyses.

### 5.1 SPOUSE PAIRS COHORT

In the female population of the spouse pairs cohort nine incident cases of primary lung cancer were diagnosed during the follow-up period 1977 to 1982. Histological confirmation was obtained for each case and the information abstracted from medical pathology reports. The distribution by histological type is presented in Table 5.1t. Since there were very few cases these were grouped together for analytical purposes and no effort was made to differentiate by various histological types.

97

TABLE &1

### SPOUSE PARS - FEMALES HISTOLOGY OF LUNG CANCER CASES

Histological Type	Number	
Large cel caronoma	1	•
Oat call caronoma	1	
Adenocaronoma	7	

All the cases occurred in the age range of 45 to 69 and-only one of the nine cases was diagnosed in a former smoker. No-cases occurred among females classified as current smokers while eight cases were among non-smokers. Table 5.2 presents the distribution of incident cases, person years and incidence rates by ten year age groups according to the smoking status of subject and spouse.

A stratified analysis, stratifying on ten year age groups, was performed and Mantel-Haenszel summary rate ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals were calculated for selected exposure factors. The incidence rates, crude rate ratios, age adjusted rate ratios, confidence intervals and p-values are shown in Table 5.3. The differences between the crude rate ratios and adjusted rate ratios indicate that there was a

98

### 2023513045

N SMOKER	BABON ABBAB CESSON NASBAND HO	_	(per)	Person years Cases/ FUUSBAND 5	ID'000 EA EPIP ROKEU	HUSBAND NON Cases/ Person years	Vehic/T	kezeJ	dnozý eðy
00 0	900	٥	00 0	612 0	00 0	+00 <b>0</b> 0	00 0	0 245	PC:52
00 0	916	٥	00 0	664 0	00 0	£699 O	00 0	0 1925	PF 50
00 0	845	0	00.0	1101 0	2.10	5 8650	19 Þ	1 5180	PS 99
80 0	818	0	90.0	1 1510	20.5	8458 E	CC C	000C I	<b>19</b> \$9
00 0	SOC	٥	00 0	Ď: <b>629</b>	201	0069 1	C97*	1 5129	¥£:99
<b>00 0</b>	33	0	00 0	Φ£ -0	00.0	0 3115	00 0	0 682	18 ST
óó o	•	٥	00 0	0 0	oò ò	0 550	00 0	C9 0	p4 50
00 0	6190		11.2	899Þ t	91.1	SSOCY 9	Š 84	6/501 C	leav I
								****************	

VYÓ HOZEVAD ZIVOKÁO ŽIVIDZ IN MYNJUVČE
DIZIJUDÚTION OL INCIDĚNI CYZEZ' LEUZON AÉVUZ VAO INCIDÉNCE UVIEZ BA VÕE ČIJOCHI ZIJOČ ČVACEU (1011-1201)
ŽIJOČE LVIUZ - LEHVALEZ

IVHE 25

confounding effectiby age that to some extent was accounted for in the stratified analysis. The small number of cases and low statistical power; militate against the possibility of achieving statistically, significant results. It is also impossible to assess effect modification with so few cases.

For our major exposure factor of interest, husband smoking status in marriage, summary rate ratios of 1.94 (95% C.t. 0.46-8.24) and 2.47 (95% C.t. 0.29-21.18) were obtained for past and current exposure respectively when compared to the referent group of never exposed. A similar doubling of the risk was observed when the husband's smoking status was dichotomized into never and ever smoked, RR of 2.04 (95% C.t. 0.54-7.65). No increased risk of lung cancer was observed in this population for the subjects own smoking status. However, when smokers of more than ten pack years of cigarette smoking were compared to the referent group of non-smokers there was increased risk observed; RR.of 2.22 (95% C.t. 0.28-17.74). The solitary case in the exposed category illustrates the equivocalinature of these results.

There is a suggestion of a decreased risk for those subjects who have attended college versus those with a high school education or less and an increased risk for subjects with blue collar working spouses compared

100

TABLE 5.3 SPOUSE PAIRS - FEMALES
AGE ADJUSTED RATE RATIOS (RR) OF LUNG CANCER (1877-1982)
FOR SELECTED EXPOSURE FACTORS

factor	Levels	* Cases/ Person Years	• Fjale/ 10 <sup>6</sup> PY	Crude		   <del>  [95%   C.l.)</del>	
Husband Smoking	Never	6 47278	106	Ref.	₽el.		
slatus en	Past	3 11564	259	2.44	1.94	(0 46-8 24)	
marrage	Current	1 3912	256	2.41	2,47	(0 29 21 18)	p = 0 53
Husband amplied	No	6 47278	106	Net.	Flet.		
in marruge	Yes	4 15560	257	2.43	2 04	i7 (0.29-21.18) p=0.53 i1. o4 (0.54-7.65) p=0.27 i4. s6 (0.11-6.92) p=0.94 o0	
Female smoking	Never	0 54891	146	Ref.	Ref.		
Lielus	Past	1 7559	132	0 91	0 86	(0.11:6 92)	p = 0 94
- 1 - 1 <del>- 1</del> - 1	Current	0 864	0	0.00	0 00	•	
Female Smoked	Non-smoker	0 54091	145	Ref.	Ref.		
	Smoker	1 8443	118	0.01	0.00	(0.10-6.38)	p = 0 83
Pack years of	None	9 56772	141	Flori.	Ref.		
smoking female	0 10	0 3534	0	0 00	0 00		
	> 10	1 2027	054	2 51	3 55	(0.28-17,74)	p = 0 50
Education	High School	4 21036	190	Ref.	Flef.		
E . AU. E .	Coffage •	4 43263	116	0.61	0 75	(0 20 2 03)	p = 0 64
live in numb	Yes	3 30201	99	Rel.	₽ef		
W.03	No	5 32490	154	1 55	1 59	(0.38 6.65)	p = 0 53

2023213047

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ptpx0000

## 2023513048

	es in espesand persons factor		Total Subjects + 11,060  Total Subjects - 9					
	mo)	410124	10	0.26	10.0	(0:00-5:05)	g, 0 = d	
Tot	musheld	4 18885	501	1.12	1.23	10 21 4 451		
erelow3 sk	4041	4 33404	611	, left	Jeff			
	HOOM SOUTH C.O.	1 15002	Će	<b>&gt;</b> 5 0	<b>₽9</b> °0	(68 9-10 0)	(e o = d	
	1004 10UN 01-P	15662 #	291	60 1	1.22	104 9-15 01		
sebré hu	doew serrel + 11	4 56189	CSI	<b>PH</b>	.le.il			
uorjednos	Bine Collar	6 26120	330	96 C	gi'r	(9) 22-0/01	10 - d	
esno:	Муне Сова	\$ 34665	89	INT	INT			
		Person Years	ان فلا	UU	-tai	(irà sist)		

beuninos C.2 3JOAT

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ptpx0000

to subjects with white collar working spouses. However, both results are subject to bias because of the unknown classification of one of the cases and the missing number of person years.

Since there was only one case among the 1,475 females who had ever smoked, it was difficult to assess the influence of active smoking on the overall effect of ETS exposure. Therefore further stratified analyses were restricted to the 9,378 never smoking females. The results of these analyses are presented in Table 5.4. Somewhat similar results are observed as in the previous analysis and the same caveats concerning effect modification, bias and statistical significance apply. For the variable husband smoked in marriage, the age-adjusted rate ratio was 2.02 (95% C.I. 0.48-8.56).

An additional analysis using the conditional maximum likelihood estimatorand an exact method for sparse data was performed and the result is compared with the Mantel-Haenszel estimates in Table 5.5. These result: have similar point estimates with the mid probability exact binomization fidence intervals being somewhat wider and more conservative:

103

TABLE 5.4 SPOUSE PAIRS - FEMALE NON-SMOKERS
AGE ADJUSTED RATE RATIOS (RF) FOR LUNG CANCER (1977-1942)
FOR SELECTED EXPOSURE FACTORS

actor	Lovels	* Cases/ Person Years	+ 13:ste/ 10 <sup>5</sup> PY	Crude FIR	Adjusted DR=	(96% C.L)	
lusband Smoking	Never	6 43037	116	Ref.	Ref.		
status en	Post	2 8090	247	2 13	1 69	(0.32-0.00)	
marriage.	Current	1 2486	402	3 47	3,37	(0 39 29 05)	p = 0 45
tushand emoked	No	5 43037	116	Ref.	Plef.		
n marnage	Ye1	3 10575	284	2.45	2 03	(0 48 8 56)	p = 0 32
Education	High School	3 16063	187	Bel.	Ref.		
	College •	5 38670	129	0 69	0 96	(0.20-3 67)	p = 0.82
we in neal	Yes	3 26013	115	Flef,	Ref.		
W 9.0	Na	4 27694	144	1.26	1,30	(0.29-5-91)	p.= 0.73
Spouse	White collar	2 31217	64	₽el,	Ref.		
Decupation	Diue collar	6 20768	289	4 5 1	4 89	(0.89;26,71)	p = 0 06
Bota Carotene	1 kgh	4 19454	206	Ref.	RM.		
refor	Medium	3 17065	176	0.05	0 93	$(0.21 \cdot 4.17)$	
7.2	Low	1 17680	67	0 27	0 33	(0 04 2 99)	p = 0 59

\$0\$3213020

ĕ

Total Subjects - 9,378 Lung Gancer Cases - 8 Total Person Years - 54 898

Discrepancies in cases and person years are due to invising values in exposure factors.

<sup>.</sup> This per 1 million person years

Mantel Haenszel summary rate tatio (RR) - adjusted for age. Ref. Deferent Group.

TABLE 65

SPOUSE PARS - FEMALE NON-SMOKERS
A COMPARISON OF LUNG CANCER AGE ADJUSTED RATE RATIOS
FOR EXPOSURE TO SPOUSE SMOKING USING
DIFFERENT STATISTICAL METHODS:

FACTOR	LEVELS	•:	METHOO	ADJUSTED: RF (RS% C.L)
Husband amok	nd No	\$ 43007	Marsel-Haenszel	Ref.
in Marriage	Yes.	3/10575		2.02 (0.49.8.56)
	No		Maximum Likelihood	Ref.
	Yes			201 (0.39-8 79)*
* Cases per	son years			
" mid prob	stary (Ma	man), become	el confidence intervals	

### 5.2 AHSMOG COHORT

During the years 1977-1982 thirteen incident cases of lung cancer were diagnosed in the current non-smokers of the AHSMOG cohort. Seven of the cases were males and six were females. The histological types of turnors are presented in Table 5.6. As with the spouse pairs cohort the most predominant histological type was adenocarcinoma. However, all histological types were grouped together for analytical purposes.

Ø....

TABLE 5.6 AHSMOG HISTOLOGY OF LUNG CANCER CASES

Histological Type	Male	Female
Large cell carcinoma	1	
Carcinoma NOS	1	1
Squamous cell carcinoma	1	
Adenocarcinoma	4	4
Unknown		1

For females, cases occurred in the age range of 55 to 94 years while for males, cases were limited to the 55-84 year age range. The distribution of incident, cases, person years and incidence rates by ten year age groups for the two ETS exposures of interest-years lived with a smoker and years worked with a smoker-are presented in Tables 5.7 and 5.8 respectively. There is an increased risk for age, however, the lack of: sufficient cases prevent a careful assessment of trend across passive

smoking expasures.

106

TABLE 5.7

ALISMOO - CURVIENT NON SMOKERS

LUNG CÂNCER (1977-1982).

DISTRIBUTION OF INCIDENT CASES, PERSON YEARS AND INCIDENCE RATES BY AGE OFFICE AND YEARS LIVED WITH A SMOKER

YEARS LIVED WITH SMOKER

	N	ONE	1:10	YEARS		YEARS
FEMALE	Cases	flate/	Cases	(Cara)	Cases/	Furte/
Age Group	Person year	■ 10,900 PY	Person years	10,000 PY	Person years	10,000 PY
25 34	0 636	0 00	0 743	0 00	0 396	0 00
35-44	0 1671	0 00	0 420	0 00	0 1149	0.00
45 64	0 2617	0 00	0 047	0.00	0 1629	0 00
65 64	0:3298	0 00	0. 872	0 00	1 2269	4.41
65-74	1 2687	3.72	0 677	0 00	1 1791	5 5-9
75 84	1 1399	7.15	D: 254	0 00	0 789	0 00
BS 94	1 340	29 41	0: 69	0 00	1 159	62.09
Total	3 12647	2.37	0.3202	0 00	3 D171	3 67
MM E						
Age Group						
<b>76 34</b>	0 382	0 00	0 100	0 00	0 142	0.00
35 44	0 980	0.00	0 268	0 00	0 379	0 00
45 54	0 2109	0 00	0 495	0 00	0 779	0 00
55 64	2 2371	<b>0</b> 44	0 483	0 00	0 995	0.00
65 74	0 1683	0 00	0 311	0.00	2 596	33 54
75 84	3 978	34.17	0 61	0 00	0 204	0 00
B5 94	0 212	0 00	0 6	0 00	0 11	0 00
Total	6 8616	5 80	0 1726	0 00	2 3107	64

\$0\$3213023

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/ptpx0000

TABLE 5.8

ALISMOG - CURINENT NON SMOKERS

LUNG CANCER (1977-1982)

DISTRIBUTION OF INCIDENT CASES, PERSON YEARS AND INCIDENCE RATES BY AGE GROUP

AND YEARS WORKED WITH A SMOKER

				YI	EN13 WORK	ED WIH SM	OKEP	t	
	_	NON	Æ	_	1:10	rears		11.	YEARS.
LEWYI É		Cases	Plate/	_	Cases/	Tale/	_	Casee	Flate/
Age Group	P7	mean Aeima	10,000 PY	P	mean years	10,000 PY	PI	nadu Aesta	10,000 P
<b>25</b> 34	0	521	0 00	0	580	0 00	0	155	0 00
35-44	0	1463	0 00	0	1195	0 00		503	0.00
45.54	Ŏ	2355	0 00	Ŏ	1640	0 00	Ö	1197	0.00
65 64	0	3532	0 00	1		7.18	ò	1510	0.00
65-74	1	3379	2.94	0	768	0.00	1/	919	10.00
75 84	1	1953	6 12	Ō	255	0 00	0	234	0 00
85 94	2	439	45.68	Ō	72	0 00	0'	59	0 00
Total	4	13641	2.93	1	5802	1,72	1	4657	2.15
MALE									
Age Group									
25:34	0	313	0 00	0	238	0 00	0	75	0 00
35 44	0	760	0 00	0	595	0 00	0	212	0 00
45 54	0	1797	0.00	0	936	0 00	0	650	0 00
65 64	2	2242	0 97	0	822	0.00	0	705	0.00
65 74	2	1710	11 64	0	440	0 00	0	432	0 00
75 84	1	860	1163	2	98	204 08	0	186	0 00
<b>0</b> 5 94	0	193	0.00	0	30	0 00	0	7	0 00
Total	5	7803	6 34	2	3160	6 33	0	2408	0 00

\$0\$32**T3024** 

Four of the thirteen cases occurred among former amokers all of whom smoked more than ten pack years of cigarettes. In a stratified analysis, adjusting for age and sex, the rate ratio of lung cancer among those smoking more than ten pack years compared to those who were non-amokers was 2.81:(95% C.I. 0.78-10.20, p = 0.08). Consequently, past active smoking exposure was treated as a confounder and controlled for by stratification in additional analyses.

The results of stratified analyses for selected exposure factors controlling for age and subjects pasti smoking status are presented in. Table 5.9 for females and Table 5.10 for males. The differences in the crude RR and adjusted RR indicate some confounding due to past active smoking and/or, age, differences in the population and therefore the adjusted summary rate ratios are considered as unconfounded by the subjects past smoking status or age. For females who had lived eleven or more years with a smoker as compared to females who had never fixed with a smoker the rate ratio of lung cancer was 1/16 (95% C.I. 0.20-6.61). For females who had worked eleven or more years with a smoker the corresponding rate ratio was 1.47 (95% C.I. 0.15-14.06):

109

i	-
	7.
7	5

1 may eleft .	ungion buiston kens	TOTAL LITTLE C	prisons 1 see	ur)	nces Cases Hal Person Yes	9	
	es as exhacine jectors to a cases and berson	Asex exe que	O4		especia -	₹'054	
	woj	1 0550	151	0 25	SC 0	16 r + co ol	110-d
корси	mu-bald	2612 C	210	64 1	10 1	ISE OF OC OF	
Hota Carolinne	4011	\$ 8290	533	left.	Jeff.		
	Conege .	896+1 Č	500	19 0	1 22	(0 54 6 55)	28 Q = à
Toucation	HON School	2 3513	326	.1 <del>0</del> F1	MA		
wdd 002 <	> 3000	9969 /1	891	60 0	69.0	(18 4-10 0)	Ce 0 = d
äSt	101-3000	660C1.	10€	C9 i	1.25	(0.11.150)	
to evide	001.0	1-6320	981	IPU	MR		
word of <	> 2000	SE9P 1	912	9C'1	06.0	(C6 +1 S0 0)	0e 0 = d
irrobr#0	000E-191	4 13454	588	201	CLI	(0 50 18 52)	
lo swot	091-0	£159 1	691	.le⊓	(MR)	_	
	11 · Asmt	0/95 1	*12	<b>≯</b> 2 Ô	ZP'1	(90 )1-51-01	640-0
mayoung raw	Page OI-F	1 6602	211	09 0	CO.1	(11.01-11.0)	
behow seet	anoli	19861 7	583	7613	(IPM)		
	smad + 14	2 8513	265	99'1	91'1	(0.50:6.61)	89 0 = d
whom? do	1-10 house	1000	0	00 0	òà o		
pent) sady	enoM	BIBZI C	\$34	'PH	<b>IPU</b>		
	1	SWEET LIGHT	Y4 "01	LINI	_101	(10 %%)	
90404	Sieve ]	nesen.	Wieff +	Crude	beleuph		

LÓH ZÉTECLED EXLOZIGHE LYCLOHZ VÖNÖZLED LYLE LYLIGG HUH DE TÍNG CYNCEH (1811-1863)

MISMOD : LEMMES

2023513056

**TABLE 5.10** 

#### A ISMOO - MALES ADJUSTED RATE RATIOS (191) OF LUNG CANCER (1977-1982) FOR SELECTED EXPOSURE FACTORS

Factor	Lovels	* Cases/ Person Years	+ Вые/ 10 <sup>6</sup> РУ	Crude PIR	Adjusted FIR=	(95% C.I.)	
Years lived	None	5 0723	673	Bel.	Rel,		
with Smaker	1-10 years	0 1729	0	0 00	0 00		
	11 · years	2 3123	640	1.12	1,17	(0.21-8.61)	p = 0 84
Years Worked	None	5-7996	625	Plef,	Ref.		
with Smoker	1-10 years	2 3159	633	1.01	1.72	(0.33-9.04)	p = 0.35
	11 · years	0.2420	0	0 00	0.00		
Hours of	0-160	0 3504					
Onidant	161-3000	4 7429					
> 10 ppm	> 0000	3 2642					
flours of	0-100	0.3064					
1SP	101-3000	3 6894					
> 500 tbm	> 3000	4 3610					
Education	High School	3 3821	785	P⊌l.	Ref.		
	College +	3 9692	310	0.39	0 66	(0 <sub>;</sub> 17-3 <sub>;</sub> 77)	p = 0 62
Bota Carolene	High	1 4114	243	Flef,	Bel.	•5	
Index	Med.um	3 4019	746	3 07	4 01	(0 41-39 46)	
	Low	2 5302	377	1 55	1 98	(0.18-21.73)	p = 0 23

**\$0\$32**13024

Discrepancies in cases and person years are due to missing values in exposure factors

flate per 1 million person years

Total Subjects - 2,261 Concer Cases - 7 Mantal Hancard summery rate rate (RR) add for age & smoking status. Total Person Years - 13,455

Similar results are observed in Table 5:10 for the male cohort. There is a slight increased risk for subjects who lived eleven or more years with: a smoker as compared to those who had not lived with a smoker, RR = 1:17 (0:21-6:61). For ETS exposure at work the rate ratio of lung cancer in males is 1:72 (95% C.I. 0:33-9:04). Since we have very lew cases the conditional maximum likelihood RR estimate and the exact mid probability binomial confidence intervals were also calculated and the results are detailed in: Table 5:11. These results are similar to the Mantel-Haenszel stratified analysis.

### 5.3 SUMMARY

In both populations analyzed there appears to be a positive effect of passive smoking exposure with the outcome of lung cancer. Each of the adjusted measures of effect for all the ETS variables show a positive effect for exposure. However, the magnitude of that effect varies depending on the cohort observed and the particular exposure variable used. For both sexes in the AHSMOG cohort the results indicate that, working with a smoker has a greater effect on lung cancer than living with a smoker. However, the results should be interpreted cautiously because of the small number of cases that occurred in both populations. Further discussion of the results are presented in the final chapter.

## AHSMOG - LUNG CANCER \*ADJUSTED RATE RATIOS FOR VARYING YEARS AND TYPES OF PASSIVE SMOKING EXPOSURE

Passive Smol Exposure	te Fert Casse/P1	Minus Cases/PY RR (95% CI)**			
Years Lived					
None	3/12818	Ret.	\$-8723	Ref.	
1-10	0 3301	0.00	01729	0.00	
11 •	3 8213	1,22 (0.18-7.89)	23123	1.18 (0.15-6.74)	
		p = 0.82		p = 0,83	
Years Worked	1				
None	4:13861	Ref.	5.7996	Ref	
1-10	1 5802	1.01 (0.04-9.09)	23159	1.68 (0.22-8.81)	
11 +	1. 4570	1.38 (0.05-12.66)	0 2420	0.00	
		p = 0.76		p = 0:55	

Conditional maximum Melinood estimates of RR adjusted for age and past smoking status

Mid probability (Meittnen) binomial confidence interval.